

Draft Final Audit Report of the Audit Division on the Republican Party of Orange County (Federal)

(January 1, 2009 - December 31, 2010)

Why the Audit Was Done

Federal law permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file reports under the Federal Election Campaign Act (the Act). The Commission generally conducts such audits when a committee appe to have met the threshold requiremen for substantial

s wheth complied with the limitations, prohibitions and disclosure requirements of the Act.

Future Action

The Commission may initiate an enforcement action, at a later time, with respect to any of the matters discussed in this report.

About the Committee (p. 2)

The Republican Party of Q committee headquartered information, see the shart of

County (Federal) is a local party in, California. For more nmittee organization, p. 2.

\$ 613,029

Financial Activity (p. 2)

•	Re	eceipts ,		
	0	Indiv İ	ns	\$ 299,234
		olitical (ns Sntributions	81,000
		ransfers fro	:es	76,923
		ransfers fro	deral Accounts	230,078
			Levin unt	73,466
	0	Ĩ	d.	6,205
	0	(rati ditures	3,661
•	I	व ते रिक्तापुर	, . }	\$ 770,567

· Di-l-m--chicht-

jon Activity	149,571
Refunds	8,850
oans Repaid	6,205
I I. Disbursements	\$ 777,655
• Levin Receipts	\$ 74,132
Levin Disbursements	\$ 73,465

Findings and Recommendations (p. 3)

Misstatement of Financial Activity (Finding 1)

penditures

- Reporting of Debts and Obligations (Finding 2)
- Recordkeeping for Employees (Finding 3)
- Use of Levin Fund Transfers (Finding 4)

¹ 2 U.S.C. §438(b).

Draft Final Audit Report of the Audit Division on the Republican Party of Orange County (Federal)

(January 1, 2009 – I) · nber 31, 2010)



Table of Contents

	Page
Part I. Background	
Authority for Audit	1
Scope of Audit	1
Commission Guidance	1
Part II. Overview of Committee	•
Committee Organization	2
Overview of Financial Activity	3
*	
Part III. Summaries	
Findings and Recommendations	4
Part IV. Findings and Recommendations	
Finding 1. Misstatement of Financial F	5
Finding 2. Reporting of Debissand	~ 7
Finding 3. Recordkeeping for	8
Finding 4. Use of Levin Fund	. 9

Part I Background

Authority for Audit

This report is based on an audit of the Republican Party of Orange County (Federal) (RPOC), undertaken by the Audit Division of the Federal Election Commission (the Commission) in accordance with the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the Act). The Audit Division conducted the audit pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §438(b), which permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file a report under - C. §434. Prior to conducting any audit under this subsection, the Commission of any particular committee meet the threshold requirems and internal review of reports filed by selected committees to committee that the threshold requirems and internal compliance with the Act. 2 U.S.C. §438(b).

Scope of Audit

Following Commission-approved procedur Audit value s risk factors and as a result, this audit examined:

1. the disclosure of individual (tors' occupation ame of en);

2. the disclosure of disburseme ts and obligations;

3. the disclosure of expenses al between federal accounts;

4. the consistency between representations research

5. the completene

6. the disclosure and exp

7. other committee mecessary

Commission Guidance

Request for Larly Commission Consideration of a Legal Question

Pure of the Commission's "Policy Statement Establishing a Program for Requesting Contion of Legal Quantification," several state party committees an audit. Specifically, the Continuous consideration of a legal question raised during an audit. Specifically, the Continuous consideration of a legal question raised during an audit. Specifically, the Continuous consideration of a legal question raised during an audit. Specifically, the Continuous consideration of a legal question raised during an audit. Specifically, the Continuous consideration of a legal question raised during an audit. Specifically, the Continuous consideration of a legal question raised during an audit. Specifically, the Continuous continuo

The Commission of the a vote of 5-1, that 11 CFR §106.7(d)(1), does require committees to keep a monthly log for employees paid exclusively with federal funds. Exercising its prosecutorial discretion, however, the Commission decided it will not pursue recordkeeping violations for the failure to keep time logs or to provide affidavits to account for employee salaries paid with 100 percent federal funds and reported as such. Finding 3, Recordkeeping for Employees, of this audit report does not include RPOC employees paid with 100 percent federal funds and reported as such.

Part II Overview of Committee

Committee Organization

Important Dates	
Date of Registration	July 6, 1982
Audit Coverage	January 1, 2009 - December 31, 2010
Headquarters	Tusti nia
Bank Information	
Bank Depositories	Two
Bank Accounts	Four al, Two Levin and Eight
Treasurer	
Treasurer When Audit Was Conducted	- ark W.
Treasurer During Period Covered by Aud	ark W. Bucher
• Treasurer During Period Covered by Aud Management Information	
Management Information	
Management Information ● Attended Commission Camp nance	

Overview of Financial Activity (Audited Amounts)

Cash-on-hand @ January 1, 2009		\$ 6,092
Receipts		
o Individual Contributions		299,234
o Political Committee Contributions		81,000
o Transfers from Affiliates		76,923
o Transfers from Non-federal Accounts		230,078
o Transfers from Levin Account	*	73,466
o Loans Received		6,205
o Offsets to Operating Expenditures	-	3,661
Total Receipts		\$ 770,567
	- 1.488x	
Disbursements	·	
o Operating Expenditures	1	<u>£613,029</u>
o Federal Election Activity		*149 ,571
o Contribution Refunds		85850
o Loans Repaid	_	6,205
Total Disbursements		\$ 777,655
Cash-on-hand @ December 31	_ '	(\$ 996) ²
Levin Cash-on-hand	•	\$ 10
Total Levin Receipts	<u> </u>	\$ 74,132
Total Levin Disbursement		\$ 73,465
Levin Cash-on-hand . Decumber :1, 2010		\$ 677

² RPOC overdrew its bank accounts in the amount of \$996. On January 12, 2011, RPOC's balance was no longer overdrawn.

Part III Summaries

Findings and Recommendations

Finding 1. Misstatement of Financial Activity

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of RPOC's reported financial activity with its bank records revealed a misstatement of receipts and disbursements for calendar year 2009.

RPOC understated its receipts by \$17,420 and disb

misstatements were due mainly to unreported trans
and unreported in-kind contributions. In response to recommendation, RPOC amended its reports to main the misstatements

noted above. (For more detail, see p. 5.)

Finding 2. Reporting of Debis and Obligations

Audit fieldwork indicated that RPOC failed ort debts and oblig. or 12 vendors totaling \$60,296 on Schedule D (Debts and _at onse to rim Audit Report recommendation, RP mended its erially in these debts and obligations. (For more a .)

Finding 3. Recordkeeping for Employees

During audit fieldwork the Audit staff detern payroll logs, as required, to document these of time each employee spent on amount of payroll for which logs were required was \$187,280 RPC :d these reduced level in the control of time each employee spent on amount of payroll for which logs were required was \$187,280 RPC :d these reduced between federal files.

Aft right wided an affidavit that listed the time spent on federal electric activities for each of its employees. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, RPOC stated that for all future payrolls, it will maintain monthly payroll logs and document centage of time each employee spends on federal and non-federal electric activity r more detail, see p. 8.)

Finding 4. Üse of Levin Fund Transfers

During audit fieldwc 'w of Levin fund activity determined that RPOC received \$74,132 from the Ca epublican Party's Levin account for reimbursement of voter registration expenses. RPOC then transferred \$73,465 from its Levin account to its federal accounts, as reimbursement for voter registration expenses. In accordance with 11 CFR §300.31(a), Levin funds expended must be raised solely by the committee that expends them. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, RPOC disclosed \$73,465 on Schedule D of its 2013 November monthly report as a debt to its Levin account. (For more detail, see p. 9.)

Part IV Findings and Recommendations

Finding 1. Misstatement of Financial Activity

Summarv

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of RPOC's reported financial activity with its bank records revealed a misstatement of receipts and disbursements for calendar year 2009. RPOC understated its receipts by \$17,420 and disbursements by \$17,420. The misstatements were due mainly to unreported transfers to and from non-federal accounts and unreported in-kind contributions. In response Miterim Audit Report recommendation, RPOC amended its reports to m ct the misstatements noted above.

- **Legal Standard** A. Contents of Reports. Each report mi ose: the amount of cash-on-hand at the ng and end of the 1 ing period; dand for the calendar year; the total amount of receipts for the 1 g period and for the calendar the total amount of disbur or the res year; and certain transactions that re n Schedule A (Itemized Receipts) or Schedule 1 isburšė §434(b)(1), (2), (3), (4) and (5). Gift, B. Definition of ... gance or deposit of money. of money or anything of value made a gift, subscription, lidvance ng any election for Federal office is a by any person
 - g ði nd contributions. \$ ● s or services without charge or at a charge that is less any an the usual and normals for such goods or services is a contribution. 11 100.52(a) &

Facts and Air .'v sis

A. Facts

During audit field parison of RPOC's reported financial activity with its bank records revealed a misstatement of receipts and disbursements for calendar year 2009. The following chart details the discrepancies between RPOC's disclosure reports and its bank records. Succeeding paragraphs explain why the discrepancies occurred.

2009 Activity			
	Reported	Bank Records	Discrepancy
Beginning Cash-on-Hand @ January 1, 2009	\$6,092	\$6,092	\$0
Receipts	\$311,572	\$328,992	(\$17,420) Understated
Disbursements	\$303,419	\$320,839	(\$17,420) Understated
Ending Cash-on-Hand @ December 31, 2009	\$14,245	,245	. \$0

The understatement of receipts resulted from the f

•	Under reporting of receipts In-kind contribution, not reported as	ipt	,	\$ 10,631 10,000 ³
•	In-kind rent for December, not rep	a receipt		3,904
•	Duplicate reported receipt (in-kind	ution)		-√§,000)⁴
•	Unexplained difference	•		<u>(2\$1\$15)</u>
	Net Understatement of ?-	-		<u>\$ 17.420</u>

The understatement of	ro owing.		
 In-kind contrib 	oution, not	men	\$ 10,000
 Disburser 	orted		9,382
 In-kind re 	er, nc	něnt	3,904
 Disburser 	veı jṛted	*	(866)
 Duplicate 	ed disbursement (ntribution)	(5,000)
Net Under-tr	ւրու ու Վ Di-1-ու se թ. ու	•	\$ 17,420

Andu Report & Andu Dra jon Recommendation

OC's treasurer with workpapers vit conference oviđi A₁ er asked general questions regarding the de e misstateme rements. reporti

The Interim Audit Report correct the misstate

immended that RPOC amend its disclosure reports to receipts and disbursements for 2009.

C. Committee Re I Interim Audit Report
In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, RPOC amended its reports to materially correct the misstatements.

This was a partial payment for a fundraising event.

⁴ RPOC originally reported this as a loan and repayment. It was later reported as an in-kind contribution.

Finding 2. Reporting of Debts and Obligations

Summary

Audit fieldwork indicated that RPOC failed to report debts and obligations for 12 vendors totaling \$60,296 on Schedule D (Debts and Obligations). In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, RPOC amended its reports to materially include these debts and obligations.

Legal Standard

- A. Continuous Reporting Required. A political committee must disclose the amount and nature of outstanding debts and obligations until tho: s are extinguished. 2 U.S.C. §434(b)(8) and 11 CFR §§104.3(d) and 104.
- B. Separate Schedules. A political committee mustaff trate schedules for debts owed by and to the committee with a stateme laining the circumstances and conditions under which each debt and obl as incurred of the uished. 11 CFR §104.11(a).

C. Itemizing Debts and Obligations.

- Once it has been outstanding 60 days fro ed, a debt of \$500 or less must be reported on the next rep y scheduleda port.
- A debt exceeding \$500 must begin <u>මිදුලේ</u> in the rep t covers the date on which the debt was incurred. 11 CFR &

Facts and \u......

A. Facts

į During audit fieldwo disbursement records and disclosure obligations. This review identified debts owed reports for proper reportings to 12 vendors totaling \$60,24 Catalled to report on Schedule D. Of these debts, \$48,636 was incurred during audit period and \$11,660 was incurred prior to the audit period and remained outstanding as of the beginning of the audit period. It should be noted that RPOC did discip ebts ewed to some of these vendors during the audit period. However, the debt unts identified by the Audit staff above were not included in the debt amou porte

B. Interim Aud K part A Audit Division Recommendation

At the exit conferenc art staff discussed the reporting of debts and obligations with RPOC's treasurer and provided schedules detailing the transactions requiring disclosure. The treasurer had no comments on this matter.

The Interim Audit Report recommended that RPOC amend its disclosure reports to correctly include debts and obligations of \$60,296 on Schedule D.

C. Committee Response to Interim Audit Report

In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, RPOC amended its reports to materially include these debts and obligations. In addition, RPOC stated that it has tightened procedures for reporting accounts payable. RPOC also added that staff and

board members have been informed that all its obligations need to be reported to the treasurer at the time they are incurred.

Finding 3. Recordkeeping for Employees

Summary

During audit fieldwork, the Audit staff determined that RPOC did not maintain monthly payroll logs, as required, to document the percentage of time each employee spent on federal election activity. For 2009 and 2010, the amount of payroll for which logs were required was \$187,281. RPOC reported these payroll disbursements as allocated between federal and non-federal funds.

After audit fieldwork, RPOC provided an affidavit that the time spent on federal election activities for each of its employees. In respons the Interim Audit Report recommendation, RPOC stated that, for all fuse and another symmetry and document the percentage and employ on federal and non-federal election activity.

Legal Standard

Maintenance of Monthly Logs
percentage of time each employ
Allocations of salaries, wages, a geographics a

- Employees who spend 25 percent or is month on fer on activities m or be allocal nistrative costs.
- Employees wend more than 25 month on feet lection activities in
- Employees w

mi p a monthly l f the connect with a federal election.
nefits a federal election.
nefits a federal account ither from the federal account

paid only from a federal account; and, isated time in a given month on ly with funds that comply with State

Facts and Analysis

A. Fac.s

During fie kathe Aud RPOC did not maintain ar percentage of time eacher These logs are required to aff reviewed payroll disbursements totaling \$187,281.5 Southly payroll logs or equivalent records to document the yee spent in connection with federal election activity.

Iment the proper allocation of federal and non-federal

funds used to pay employee salaries and wages. This entire amount (\$187,281) represents payroll disbursements allocated between federal and non-federal funds during the audit period. RPOC had no employees paid exclusively from a non-federal account.

RPOC's staffing consisted of eight individuals all of whom were hired via an employment company. In its reports, RPOC disclosed the purpose of the payroll expenditures as "Leased Employees." In addition, RPOC included a statement in its

This total does not include payroll for employees paid with 100 percent federal funds (see Part I, Background, Commission Guidance, Request for Early Commission Consideration of a Legal Question, page 1).

reports noting that the time spent on federal election activity and federal campaigns was tracked on a monthly basis and no employee spent 25 percent or more of their compensated time on federal election activity. RPOC did not maintain a monthly time log to support its statement above and as required by 11 CFR §106.7(d)(1).

B. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division Recommendation

At the exit conference and during audit fieldwork, the Audit staff discussed the payroll recordkeeping matter with RPOC's treasurer. At the exit conference, the treasurer provided an affidavit from RPOC's chairman that listed the time spent on federal election activities for its employees. This document, however, did not resolve the recordkeeping finding because RPOC provided the affidavit only after tified of the recordkeeping requirement during the audit.

The Interim Audit Report recommended that, for all plan to maintain monthly payroll logs to tra spends on federal election activity.

rolls, RPOC implement a ne each employee

C. Committee Response to Interim Audii Report

In response to the Interim Audit Report rec payrolls, it will maintain monthly lo employee spends on federal and ra document the amount of hours sy semi-monthly basis in a spreadsh

rec logs and ral elect l ar

POC star at, for all future at the percentage of time each ty. RPOC stated that it will ral election activity on a

Finding 4. Use of Levin Fund Transfers

Summary

During audit fieldwork ox \$7 lift as vo senses. fer scounts, as remabure 11 300.31(a), Levi expends them. In respondisclosed \$\frac{3}{2} \text{ is on Schule Levin accounts}

of Levin fund activity determined that RPOC received iblin y's Levin account for reimbursement of Ctl 373,465 from its Levin account to its nt for vot tration expenses. In accordance with repended must be raised solely by the committee that ie Interim Audit Report recommendation, RPOC D of its 2013 November monthly report as a debt to its

Legal Standard

- A. Expending of Levin I . Levin funds expended or disbursed by any State, district or local committee must be raised solely by the committee that expends or disburses them. Consequently, funds from national party committees, other State, district and local committees and Federal candidates or officeholders, may not be accepted as Levin funds. 11 CFR §§300.31(a) and 300.34(b). This includes any entity directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained or controlled by any national, State, district or local committee of a political party. 2 U.S.C. §441i(b)(2)(B)(iv).
- B. Levin Fund Transfers. A State, district, or local committee of a political party must not use any Federal funds transferred to it from or otherwise accepted by it from any other State, district, or local committee as the Federal component of an expenditure or

disbursement for Federal election activity under 11 CFR §300.32. A State, district, or local committee of a political party must itself raise the Federal component of an expenditure or disbursement allocated between federal funds and Levin funds under 11 CFR §§300.32 and 300.33. 11 CFR §300.34(a).

Levin funds must be raised solely by the State, district, or local committee of a political party that expends or disburses the funds. A State, district, or local committee of a political party must not use as Levin funds any funds transferred or otherwise provided to the committee by any State, district, or local committee of a political party of the national committee of any political party. 11 CFR §300.34(b).

Facts and Analysis

A. Facts

During the audit period, RPOC made 23 transfers, 1 465, from its Levin account to its federal accounts and reported these transfers on Schedule H5 (Transfers of Levin Funds Received for Allocated Federal Election Activity). A the Levin funds expended by RPOC⁶ (\$73,465) were received from the California 1 an Party's Levin account, which transferred \$74,132 to R s L :count.

While there is no prohibition on the California
Levin funds to local party committees under 11 CFR \$1 , there is a prohibition on local committees using funds transferred by an art imittee for either the federal or Levin shares of disbursements allocated being federal and Levin funds. As such, RPOC did not more nent that the Liput strong raised solely by the committee that entering the committee that entering the committee is no prohibition on the California ty (CRP) transferring the committee is a prohibition on a mittee for either the federal and Levin funds. As such, strong raised solely by the committee that entering the committee is no prohibition on an art imittee for either the federal and Levin funds. As such, strong raised solely by the committee that entering the committee is no prohibition on an art imittee for either the federal and Levin funds.

B. Interim Aud & Bort & vult Division Recommendation

At 1 ranse and exitted the aff discussed this matter with RPOC's trea stream has nmer ding this matter.

The m Au trecommended that RPOC demonstrate that it solely raised the exp. Levin funds. Absent such demonstration, it was recommended that RPOC refund its account \$7 5 from its federal account and provide evidence of this refund.

C. Committee R - I - im Audit Report

In response to the eport recommendation, RPOC added the Levin fund transfers (\$73,465) to its schedule D on the 2013 November monthly report, as a debt owed to its Levin account.8

However, RPOC contends that the Commission should not accept this finding. RPOC stated that it is a vendor to CRP and its agent in conducting voter registration activities in

⁶ RPOC had a beginning cash balance of \$10 in its Levin account that was not transferred from the California Republican Party.

This matter was addressed in a Request for Commission Directive 69 Guidance involving the Democratic State Central Committee of California (LRA #819) dated April 22, 2011.

As of April 30, 2014, this amount remains outstanding on Schedule D and the reported cash-on-hand balance is \$3,802.

Orange County. RPOC noted that it has operated under the CRP's "Operation Bounty" agreement by which RPOC is compensated by the CRP on the basis of valid Republican voter registrations it obtains and which the CRP verifies as valid voter registrations throughout each election cycle. RPOC stated that, for the 2010-2011 election cycle, this included registrations obtained outside the Federal Election Activity (FEA), Type II⁹ period, as well as, registrations obtained during the FEA, Type II period. RPOC stated that it received consideration in the form of payment per valid registration to defray its cost in obtaining, processing, verifying and submitting the voter registrations to CRP through the Operation Bounty program. RPOC contends that without the Operation Bounty reimbursement, it would be less likely and able to conduct effective voter registrations using the volunteer resources of Republican volunteer organizations, groups and activists. Further, RPOC stated that the Operat program is a bona fide party building program, which engages Republican groups and individual Republican activists in voter outreach, voter commi and spreading the Republican identification and brand in the community. It suffer added that if the CRP Evendor, CRP would have to seek was not able to utilize the RPOC as its as do not offer the co ral party building alternatives, such as commercial vendors benefits that the RPOC-CRP relationship ites.

: Commission both RPOC contests whether this finding should be approved statutory and constitutional grounds RPOC stated that it is not prohibited from using or district committee of a political party to non-federal funds transferred by a state ses for voter registration conducted reimburse it's federal account for a port iod as setaforth in aission regulation 11 CFR outside the FEA, 7 cal of nittee of a political party is not §300.32(b)(1)(i). ... - tate local or district committee of a prohibited from pa ther th n activity during the FEA, Type II political party using Levin . for vot period under 11 CFR

Should allow it to accept and use Levin In ι through Operation Bounty as a matter of contract and fun ained in urse Salso noted that the Commission should not enforce 2 age . w. Alt (2)(iv)(I) because itsteels such enforcement would violate the equal U.\$ protection e of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. RPOC beli this would discriminate against a political party and its members for engaging in voter registration political activity using Levin funds received in connection with its contract with the GRIP RPOC further added that such a decision would not apply to other vendors that contract to engage in voter registration activity payable with Levin funds.

The Audit staff believes RPOC is actually referring to FEA, Type I. These are voter registration activities conducted by a state or local political party committee within a period starting 120 days before the date of a scheduled federal election and ending on the date of the election. The FEA, Type I periods were 2/08/10 - 6/08/10 for the 2010 CA Primary election and 7/05/10 - 11/02/10 for the 2010 General election.

RPOC stated that guidance provided by the Commission's Reports Analysis Division and the CRP Final Audit Report of the Commission both confirm payments to local committees for voter registration activities were within the statute and the regulations. The Audit staff does not dispute this fact. CRP transferred Levin funds to RPOC, which is not prohibited by the Act. However, RPOC used the transferred funds for Type I FEA - voter registration activities, which is not permitted under 11 CFR §300.31(a). This is the distinction between the activities performed by these two committees.

Based on the above, the Audit staff considers that RPOC improperly spent \$73,465 on Type I FEA - voter registration activities using Levin funds transferred from CRP.

ŧ